Volume 1

PREFACE

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Project Background	1-1
1.2	Purpose and Intended Use of the EIR	1-3
1.3	Type of EIR	1-3
1.4	Prior Approvals/Relationship to the WRSP	1-4
1.5	Continuing Relevance of the WRSP EIR for the SVSP	1-8
1.6	Relationship to the SACOG preferred Blueprint Scenario	1-10
1.7	Lead, Responsible, and Trustee Agencies	1-10
1.8	Scope of the EIR	1-11
1.9	Environmental Review Process	1-12
1.10	Document Organization	1-16

CHAPTER 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1	Project Location and Summary	2-1
2.2	Project Area Characteristics	2-2
2.3	Project Objectives	2-9
2.4	Proposed Project	2-13
2.5	Circulation	2-26
2.6	Public Facilities and Services	2-37
2.7	Storm Water Drainage and Flood Control	2-44
2.8	Off-Site Improvements	2-53
2.9	Resource Management	2-57
2.10	Implementation	2-57
2.11	Required Permits and Approvals	2-64

CHAPTER 3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 3-1

CHAPTER 4 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Land Use and Agricultural Resources

4.1.1 Introduction 4.1-1
4.1.2 Environmental Setting 4.1-2
4.1.3 Regulatory Setting 4.1-11
4.1.4 Impacts 4.1-45
4.1.5 Mitigation Measures 4.1-72

4.2 Population, Employment, and Housing

4.2.1 Introduction 4.2-1
4.2.2 Environmental Setting 4.2-2
4.2.3 Regulatory Setting 4.2-8
4.2.4 Impacts 4.2-9
4.2.5 Mitigation Measures 4.2-19

4.3 Transportation and Circulation

4.3.1 Introduction 4.3-1
4.3.2 Environmental Setting 4.3-3
4.3.3 Regulatory Setting 4.3-26
4.3.4 Impacts 4.3-39
4.3.5 Mitigation Measures 4.3-174

4.4 Air Quality

4.4.1 Introduction 4.4-1
4.4.2 Environmental Setting 4.4-1
4.4.3 Regulatory Setting 4.4-15
4.4.4 Impacts 4.4-25
4.4.5 Mitigation Measures 4.4-44

4.5 Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

4.5.1 Introduction 4.5-1
4.5.2 Environmental Setting 4.5-1
4.5.3 Regulatory Setting 4.5-4
4.5.4 Impacts 4.5-28
4.5.5 Mitigation Measures 4.5-39

4.6 Noise

4.6.1 Introduction 4.6-1
4.6.2 Environmental Setting 4.6-1
4.6.3 Regulatory Setting 4.6-19
4.6.4 Impacts 4.6-24
4.6.5 Mitigation Measures 4.6-51

Volume 2

4.7 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity

4.7.1 Introduction 4.7-1
4.7.2 Environmental Setting 4.7-2
4.7.3 Regulatory Setting 4.7-12
4.7.4 Impacts 4.7-18
4.7.5 Mitigation Measures 4.7-24

4.8 Vegetation and Wildlife

4.8.1 Introduction 4.8-1
4.8.2 Environmental Setting 4.8-2
4.8.3 Regulatory Setting 4.8-21
4.8.4 Impacts 4.8-34
4.8.5 Mitigation Measures 4.8-75

4.9 Cultural and Paleontological Resources

4.9.1 Introduction 4.9-1
4.9.2 Environmental Setting 4.9-2
4.9.3 Regulatory Setting 4.9-10
4.9.4 Impacts 4.9-16
4.9.5 Mitigation Measures 4.9-25

4.10 Hazardous Materials and Public Safety

4.10.1 Introduction 4.10-1
4.10.2 Environmental Setting 4.10-2
4.10.3 Regulatory Setting 4.10-6
4.10.4 Impacts 4.10-23
4.10.5 Mitigation Measures 4.10-40

4.11 Public Services

4.11.0 Introduction 4.11-1

4.11.1 Law Enforcement

4.11.1-1 Introduction 4.11-2
4.11.1-2 Environmental Setting 4.11-2
4.11.1-3 Regulatory Setting 4.11-3
4.11.1-4 Impacts 4.11-4
4.11.1-5 Mitigation Measures 4.11-39

4.11.2 Fire Protection

4.11.2-1 Introduction 4.11-7
4.11.2-2 Environmental Setting 4.11-7
4.11.2-3 Regulatory Setting 4.11-9
4.11.2-4 Impacts 4.11-10
4.11.2-5 Mitigation Measures 4.11-39

4.11.3 Schools

4.11.3-1 Introduction 4.11-15
4.11.3-2 Environmental Setting 4.11-15
4.11.3-3 Regulatory Setting 4.11-20
4.11.3-4 Impacts 4.11-24
4.11.3-5 Mitigation Measures 4.11-40

4.11.4 Libraries

4.11.4-1 Introduction 4.11-29
4.11.4-2 Environmental Setting 4.11-29
4.11.4-3 Regulatory Setting 4.11-29
4.11.4-4 Impacts 4.11-30
4.11.4-5 Mitigation Measures 4.11-41

4.11.5 Parks and Recreation

4.11.5-1 Introduction 4.11-33
4.11.5-2 Environmental Setting 4.11-33
4.11.5-3 Regulatory Setting 4.11-34
4.11.5-4 Impacts 4.11-37
4.11.5-5 Mitigation Measures 4.11-41

4.12 Public Utilities

4.12.1 Water

4.12.1.1 Introduction 4.12.1-1
4.12.1.2 Environmental Setting 4.12.1-2
4.12.1.3 Regulatory Setting 4.12.1-26
4.12.1.4 Impacts 4.12.1-43
4.12.1.5 Mitigation Measures 4.12.1-81

4.12.2 Recycled Water

4.12.2.1 Introduction 4.12.2-1
4.12.2.2 Environmental Setting 4.12.2-1
4.12.2.3 Regulatory Setting 4.12.2-5
4.12.2.4 Impacts 4.12.2-8
4.12.2.5 Mitigation Measures 4.12.2-15

4.12.3 Wastewater

4.12.3.1 Introduction 4.12.3-1
4.12.3.2 Environmental Setting 4.12.3-1
4.12.3.3 Regulatory Setting 4.12.3-7
4.12.3.4 Impacts 4.12.3-12
4.12.3.5 Mitigation Measures 4.12.3-23

4.12.4 Solid Waste

4.12.4.1 Introduction 4.12.4-1
4.12.4.2 Environmental Setting 4.12.4-1
4.12.4.3 Regulatory Setting 4.12.4-5
4.12.4.4 Impacts 4.12.4-8
4.12.4.5 Mitigation Measures 4.12.4-15

4.12.5 Electricity and Natural Gas

4.12.5.1 Introduction 4.12.5-1
4.12.5.2 Environmental Setting 4.12.5-1
4.12.5.3 Regulatory Setting 4.12.5-4
4.12.5.4 Impacts 4.12.5-14
4.12.5.5 Mitigation Measures 4.12.5-15

4.13 Hydrology and Water Quality

4.13.1 Introduction 4.13-1
4.13.2 Environmental Setting 4.13-1
4.13.3 Regulatory Setting 4.13-6
4.13.4 Impacts 4.13-16
4.13.5 Mitigation Measures 4.13-35

4.14 Aesthetics and Visual Resources

4.14.1 Introduction 4.14-1
4.14.2 Environmental Setting 4.14-2
4.14.3 Regulatory Setting 4.14-4
4.14.4 Impacts 4.14-12
4.14.5 Mitigation Measures 4.14-22

CHAPTER 5 CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

5.0 CEQA Considerations

5.1 Introduction 5-1
5.2 Significant Environmental Effects 5-1
5.3 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts 5-1
5.4 Significant Irreversible Environmental Effects 5-3
5.5 Growth Inducing Impacts 5-4
5.6 Impacts of Induced Growth 5-8

5.7 Cumulative Impacts

5.7.1 Introduction 5-9
5.7.2 Development Considered in the Cumulative Analysis 5-10
5.7.3 Cumulative Impact Assessment 5-15

CHAPTER 6 ALTERNATIVES

6.1 Alternatives

6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Alternatives Analyzed	6-1
6.3	Alternatives Considered and Dismissed	6-3
6.4	Alternative 1: No Project Alternative	6-8
6.5	Alternative 2: Reduced Development	6-10
6.6	Alternative 3: Reduced Development Footprint	6-26
6.7	Alternative 4: Same Footprint Reduced Density	6-41

CHAPTER 7 PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

7.1 Planning Considerations

7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Policy Setting	7-2
7.3	Policy Analysis	7-9

CHAPTER 8 ACRONYMS 8-1

CHAPTER 9 REPORT PREPARATION 9-1

CHAPTER 10 CHAPTER REFERENCES 10-1

Volume 3

APPENDICIES: A - F

Volume 4

APPENDICIES: G - H

Volume 5

APPENDICIES: I - O

Volume 6

APPENDICIES: P -X

Volume 7

CHAPTER 11 TEXT CHANGES

Introduction to the Final EIR 11-1
Text Changes to the Draft EIR 11-7

Volume 8

CHAPTER 12 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

Response to comments 12-1

Note:

A CD containing the complete set of documents for the EIR Volumes 1-2 and the Technical Appendices Volumes 3-6 and the Text Changes and Response to Comments Volumes 7 - 8 are located inside the back cover of Volume 2.

FIGURES

Chapter 1

1-1	Vicinity Map	1-2

Chapter 2

2-1	Location Map	2-3
2-2	Sphere of Influence/MOU/Amendment Area	2-4
2-3	Constraints Map	2-6
2-4	Land Ownership	2-8
2-5	Land Use Plan	2-15
2-6a	Conceptual Citywide Park	2-21
2-6b	Open Space Areas	2-22
2-7	Wetlands Creation in the Curry Creek Corridor Conceptual Plan	2-24
2-8	Arterial Roadway Cross-sections	2-27
2-9	Collector Roadway Cross-sections	2-32
2-10	Paseo Plan	2-35
2-11	Water Distribution System	2-40
2-12	Wastewater Infrastructure	2-42
2-13	Recycled Water Distribution	2-45
2-14	Stormwater Conveyance	2-47
2-15	Proposed 60-kV line	2-49
2-16	Off-site Improvements	2-54
2-17	Off-site Baseline Road and Fiddyment Road Improvements	2-55
2-18	Off-site Baseline Road and Watt Avenue Improvements	2-56
2-19	Phasing Plan	2-60

FIGURES (continued)

Chapter 4

4.1-1	Regional Land use Map	4.1-7
4.1-2	Sierra Vista Specific Plan Land Use Map	4.1-9
4.1-3	Constraints Map	4.1-12
4.1-4	Potential Urban Reserve Land Uses	4.1-48
4.1-5	Proposed Placer Parkway Map	4.1-55
4.2-1	Affordable Housing Locations	4.2-14
4.3-1	Signalized Intersections	4.3-8
4.3-2	Transit Routes	4.3-10
4.3-3	Existing Bicycle Facilities	4.3-13
4.3-4	Existing Daily Traffic Volumes	4.3-15
4.3-5	Bus Pullout and Transfer Locations	4.3-33
4.3-6	Park and Ride Lots	4.3-34
4.3-7	Roadway Network	4.3-44
4.3-8	Trip Distribution	4.3-46
4.3-9	Existing and Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes	4.3-47
4.3-10	2025 Daily Traffic Volumes with the Project	4.3-113
4.4-1	Placer Vineyards Adjacent Land Use	4.4-40
4.6-1	McClellan Over-flight Tracks	4.6-6
4.6-2	Airport 60dB CNEL Noise Contours	4.6-12
4.6-3	Noise Measurement Locations	4.6-14
4.6-4	24 Hour Noise Measurements at Site A	4.6-15
4.6-5	24 Hour Noise Measurements at B	4.6-16
4.6-6	24 Hour Noise Measurements at Site C	4.6-17
4.6-7	24 Hour Noise Measurements at Site D	4.6-18
4.7-1	Soils Map	4.7-5
4.8-1	On-site Biological Communities	4.8-4
4.8-2	Wetlands Map	4.8-7
4.8-3	Off-site Wetland Preserve Areas	4.8-42

FIGURES (continued)

4.8-4	Swainson's Hawk Nest Radius	4.8-54
4.9-1	Existing Structures	4.9-9
4.10-1	Schools Setback Map	4.10-16
4.11.3-1	School District Boundaries	4.11-16
4.12.1-1	Existing and Future Well Site Locations	4.12.1-19
4.12.1-2	Normal/Wet Year Water Supply Strategy	4.12.1-50
4.12.1-3	Surface Water supply Shortfall during Historic American River Hydrologic Dry and Driest Year Records	4.12.1-56
4.12.1-4	Dry and Driest Year Supply Scenario Staged Water Conservation	4.12.1-58
4.12.1-5	Dry and Driest Year Supply Scenario 20% Water Conservation	4.12.1-59
4.12.1-6	Surface Water Delivery Pattern – OCAP	4.12.1-64
4.12.2-1	Location of Tank, Pump Station, and Well Facility	4.12.2-14
4.12.3-1	2005 Service Area Boundary	4.12.3-3
4.12.3-2	Ultimate SPWA Service Area Boundary	4.12.3-5
4.12.5-1	60kV Overhead Transmission Line	4.12.5-8
4.13-1	Floodplain Map	4.13-4
4.13-2	PGWWWTP Outfall Location	4.13-7
4.14-1	Photograph toward the Conley Residence	4.14-6
4.14-2	Photograph of East View of WAPA Corridor	4.14-7
4.14-3	Photograph of Stock Pond in Central Portion of the Project Area	4.14-8
4.14-4	Photograph of Richland Urban Reserve North towards the WRSP Open Space Buffer	4.14-9
4.14-5	Photograph of Curry Creek Drainage Channel View South Towards Baseline Road and the Proposed Placer Vineyard Specific Plan Area	4.14-10
4.14-6	Aerial Photograph of Sierra Vista	4.14-11
4.14-7	Visual Simulation Existing	4.14-16

FIGURES (continued)

4.14-8	Visual Simulation of Market and Road "B"	4.14-16
4.14-9	Visual Simulation of Market and Road "B" at Buildout	4.14-17
4.14-10	Ball field Lighting Example	4.14-19

Chapter 6

6-1	Avoidance Alternative Site Plan, considered but Rejected	6-7
6-2	Alternative 2, Reduced Footprint/Increased Density Site Plan	6-12
6-3	Alternative 3, Reduced Footprint/Same Density Site Plan	6-28
6-4	Alternative 4, Same Footprint/Reduced Density Site Plan	6-42

TABLES

Chapter 2

2-1	Sierra Vista Specific Plan Land Use Summary	2-14
2-2	Preliminary Grading Summary	2-62

Chapter 3

Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Chapter 4

4.1-1	Proposed Sierra vista Specific Plan Land Use	4.1-47
4.1-2	Urban Reserve Land Use Assumptions	4.1-49
4.2-1	Placer County Population and Growth Rates	4.2-2
4.2-2	Summary of Roseville Housing Units (03/31/09)	4.2-4
4.2-3	2009 Median Household Income by Family Size for the Sacramento Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)	4.2-5

TABLES (continued)

4.2-4	Adjusted Regional Housing Needs Allocation for Roseville 2008-2013	4.2-6
4.2-5	Sierra Vista Projected Population	4.2-9
4.2-6	Affordable Units Proposed in the SVSP	4.2-13
4.2-7	Affordable Housing by Parcel	4.2-16
4.3-1	Level of Service Definitions at Signalized Intersections	4.3-7
4.3-2	LOS Definitions on Roadway Segments	4.3-9
4.3-3	LOS at Roseville Signalized Intersections – Existing Conditions	4.3-18
4.3-4	Roadway Segment LOS Thresholds	4.3-42
4.3-5	Trip Generation Rates	4.3-51
4.3-6	LOS at Roseville Signalized Intersections Existing Plus Project Conditions – AM Peak Hour	4.3-54
4.3-7	LOS at Roseville Signalized Intersections Existing Plus Project Conditions – PM Peak Hour	4.3-64
4.3-8	Roseville Intersections with Degraded LOS – Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-74
4.3-9	Recommended Intersection Mitigation Measures – Existing Plus Proposed Project	4.3-75
4.3-10	LOS at Rocklin Roadway Segments – Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-80
4.3-11	LOS at Placer County Intersections – Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-82
4.3-12	Recommended Placer County Intersection Mitigation Measures Existing Plus Proposed Project	4.3-84
4.3-13	LOS at Placer County Roadway Segments Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-87
4.3-14	LOS at Sacramento County Intersections Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-91
4.3-15	LOS at Sacramento County Roadway Segments Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-93
4.3-16	LOS at Sutter County Intersections Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-97

TABLES (continued)

4.3-17	LOS at Sutter County Roadway Segment Existing Plus Proposed project Scenario	4.3-100
4.3-18	LOS at Signalized Highway Ramp Intersections Existing Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-102
4.3-19	Average Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS on State Highways Existing Plus Proposed Project	4.3-106
4.3-20	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better 2025 CIP Existing Scenario	4.3-111
4.3-21	LOS at Roseville Intersections 2025 CIP Plus Project conditions – AM Peak Hour	4.3-116
4.3-22	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at "C" or Better 2025 CIP Plus Project Scenario	4.3-127
4.3-23	LOS at Roseville Intersections 2025 CIP Plus Project Conditions – PM Peak Hour	4.3-129
4.3-24	Roseville Intersections with Degraded LOS 2025 CIP Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-140
4.3-25	Recommended Intersection Mitigation Measures 2025 CIP and Proposed Project	4.3-141
4.3-26	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better 2025 CIP and Proposed Project – PM Peak Hour	4.3-145
4.3-27	LOS at Placer County Intersections 2025 CIP and Proposed Scenario	4.3-147
4.3-28	LOS at Placer County Roadway Segments 2025 CIP and Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-149
4.3-29	LOS at Sacramento County Intersections 2025 CIP Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-152
4.3-30	LOS at Sacramento County Roadway Segments	4.3-154
4.3-31	LOS at Sutter County Roadway Intersections 2025 CIP Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-157
4.3-32	LOS at Sutter County Roadway Segment Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-158
4.3-33	LOS at Rocklin Roadway Segments Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-161
4.3-34	LOS at Signalized Highway Ramp Intersections 2025 CIP Plus Proposed Project Scenario	4.3-163

TABLES (continued)

4.3-35	Average Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS on State Highways 2025 CIP Plus Project Scenario	4.3-166
4.4-1	California and National Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.4-4
4.4-2	Sacramento Air Basin State and National Ambient Air Quality Status	4.4-5
4.4-3	Ozone Monitoring Results North Sunrise Monitoring Station	4.4-7
4.4-4	Carbon Monoxide Monitoring Results North Highlands-Blackfoot Way Monitoring Station	4.4-8
4.4-5	Particulate Matter Monitoring Results at the Roseville North Sunrise Monitoring Station	4.4-10
4.4-6	20205 Buildout and construction Emissions by Phase (Unmitigated pounds per day)	4.4-29
4.4-7	2025 Buildout Constructions Emissions	4.4-30
4.4-8	Criteria Pollutant Emissions at Buildout (2025) Compared to Thresholds (pounds per day)	4.4-33
4.4-9	2025 Modeled Carbon Monoxide Levels	4.4-36
4.5-1	Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Unmitigated, Metric Tons Per Year)	4.5-31
4.5-2	2025 Buildout Construction Emissions (Unmitigated, Pounds Per Day)	4.5-32
4.6-1	Sound Levels of Typical Noise Sources and Noise Environments	4.6-5
4.6-2	Existing Traffic Noise Levels	4.6-8
4.6-3	Summary of McClellan Overflight Individual Aircraft Noise Levels	4.6-10
4.6-4	Existing Noise Monitoring Results	4.6-13
4.6-5	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources	4.6-21
4.6-6	Performance Standards for Non-Transportation Noise Sources	4.6-22
4.6-7	Subjective Reaction to Changes in Noise Levels of Similar Sources	4.6-23
4.6-8	Construction Equipment Noise	4.6-26

TABLES (continued)

4.6-9	Existing Plus Project Transportation Noise	4.6-38
4.6-10	2025 Predicted Project Traffic Noise Levels	4.6-42
4.6-11	Traffic Noise Levels at Proposed Residential Uses	4.6-45
4.6-12	Proposed Revisions to: Table IX-1 of the Roseville General Plan Noise Element Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources	4.6-48
4.7-1	Site Soil Characteristics	4.7-9
4.8-1	Special Status Species That Could Occur in the Area	4.8-12
4.8-2	Direct Impacts to Waters of the U.S. in the SVSP Project Area Non-Shrimp Habitat	4.8-38
4.8-3	Direct Impacts to Waters of the U.S. in the SVSP Project Area Shrimp Habitat	4.8-39
4.8-4	Total Direct Impact to Waters of the U.S. in the SVSP Project Area	4.8-39
4.8-5	Summary of Minimum Mitigation Required	4.8-41
4.8-6	Summary of Minimum Mitigation Acres Proposed	4.8-41
4.8-7	Direct Impacts to Waters of the U.S. Off-site Areas	4.8-65
4.8-8	Swainson's Hawk Mitigation Ratios	4.8-89
4.10-1	Natural Gas Pipeline Individual Risk Summary Within 80 Feet	4.10-31
4.11.3-1	Center Unified High School District School Capacities and Enrollment-2008	4.11-17
4.11.3-2	Roseville Joint Union High School District High School Capacities and Enrollment – 2008	4.11-18
4.11.3-3	Roseville City School District School Capacity	4.11-19
4.11.3-4	Student Generation Rates by School District	4.11-25
4.11.5-1	2009 Parks and Open Space Acreage 2010	4.11-33
4.12.1-1	City of Roseville Surface Water Contracts	4.12.1-4
4.12.1-2	Existing Municipal Well Facility Information	4.12.1-18
4.12.1-3	Water Demand Factors	4.12.1-23
4.12.1-4	Sierra Vista Specific Plan Water Demands	4.12.1-48
4.12.1-5	Urban Reserve Water Demands	4.12.1-51

TABLES (continued)

4.12.1-6	Water Forum Agreement Impacts on Historic American River Hydrologic Dry and Driest Year Records	4.12.1-55
4.12.1-7	Maximum Day Peaking Factors	4.12.1-71
4.12.1-8	Groundwater Supply Needs at Buildout Conditions Water Forum Dry Need Scenario	4.12.1-77
4.12.1-9	Groundwater Supply Needs at Buildout Conditions USBC OCAP Dry Year Scenario	4.12.1-78
4.12.2-1	Urban Growth Areas Recycled Water Customers and Demands	4.12.2-4
4.12.2-2	Irrigation Demands Versus Recycled Water Supply	4.12.2-11
4.12.3-1	Average Dry Weather Unit Generation Rates	4.12.3-12
4.12.3-2	SVSP Average Dry Weather Flow Summary	4.12.3-17
4.12.3-3	PGWWTP Buildout Flows with SVSP (ADWF)	4.12.3-17
4.12.3-4	PGWWTP Buildout Flows with SVSP and Urban Reserve (ADWF)	4.12.3-19
4.12.3-5	Water Quality and Aquatic Resource Impact Summary on Pleasant Grove Creek for Discharges from the PGWWTP	4.12.3-22
4.12.4-1	2008 Solid Waste Generation, Detail (TONS)	4.12.4-4
4.12.4-2	Solid Waste Generation, Disposal and Diversion Rates	4.12.4-5
4.12.5-1	Estimated Electrical Demand at Buildout	4.12.5-7
4.12.5-2	Estimated Natural Gas Peak Demand at Buildout	4.12.5-11
4.13-1	Pleasant Grove Creek Water Quality Data	4.13-6
4.13-2	100-year (and 10 year) Peak Flow Comparison	4.13-20
4.13-3	Water Surface Comparison (Pre and Post Project)	4.13-26

Chapter 5

5.5-1	Employment Growth	5-7
5.7-1	SVSP Urban Reserve Buildout	5-11
5.7-2	Regional Cumulative Development	5-12
5.7-3	Geographic Scope of Cumulative Impacts	5-16

TABLES (continued)

5.7-4	Proposed Cumulative Project Rip Generation with Buildout of the Urban Reserve	5-19
5.7-5	No Project LOS at Roseville Intersections 2025 and Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Conditions – AM Peak Hour	5-21
5.7-6	LOS at Roseville Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Project Conditions – AM Peak Hour	5-28
5.7-7	Percentage of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Project Scenario – AM Peak Hour	5-39
5.7-8	Roseville Intersections with Significant LOS Impacts Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-40
5.7-9	No Project LOS at Roseville 2025 and Cumulative Conditions Plus Partial Placer Parkway Conditions – PM Peak Hour	5-42
5.7-10	LOS at Roseville Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Project Conditions – PM Peak Hour	5-51
5.7-11	Percentage of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Project Scenario – PM Peak Hour	5-60
5.7-12	LOS at Rocklin Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-64
5.7-13	LOS at Placer County Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-65
5.7-14	LOS at Placer County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-67
5.7-15	LOS at Sacramento County Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-68
5.7-16	LOS at Sacramento County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-71

TABLES (continued)

5.7-17	LOS at Sutter County Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-72
5.7-18	LOS at Sutter County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-73
5.7-19	LOS at Rocklin Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-74
5.7-20	LOS at Signalized State Highway Ramp Intersections Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project Scenario	5-75
5.7-21	Average Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS on State Highways Cumulative Plus Partial Placer Parkway Plus Proposed Project	5-79
5.7-22	LOS at Roseville Intersections Cumulative Plus Project without Placer Parkway conditions – AM Peak Hour	5-80
5.7-23	LOS at Roseville Intersections Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway Conditions – PM Peak Hour	5-90
5.7-24	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway – AM Peak Hour	5-100
5.7-25	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Project without Placer Parkway – PM Peak Hour	5-101
5.7-26	Roseville Intersections with Degraded LOS 2025 Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Scenario Without Placer Parkway	5-102
5.7-27	Recommended Intersection Mitigation Measures 2025 Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Scenario Without Placer Parkway	5-103
5.7-28	LOS at Placer County Intersections Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-108
5.7-29	LOS at Placer County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-109
5.7-30	LOS at Sacramento County Intersections Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-110

TABLES (continued)

5.7-31	LOS at Sacramento County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-111
5.7-32	LOS at Sutter County Intersections Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-113
5.7-33	LOS at Sutter County Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-114
5.7-34	LOS at Rocklin Roadway Segments Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-115
5.7-35	LOS at Signalized Highway Ramp Intersections Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-116
5.7-36	Average Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS on State Highways Cumulative Plus Project Without Placer Parkway	5-119
5.7-37	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Project With and Without Placer Parkway – PM Peak Hour	5-121
5.7-38	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Cumulative Plus Project With and Without Placer Parkway – AM Peak Hour	5-121
5.7-39	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Super Cumulative Plus Project Scenario – AM Peak Hour	5-123
5.7-40	Number of Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "C" or Better Super-Cumulative Plus Project Scenario – AM Peak Hour	5-124
5.7-41	Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "D" or Worse Super-Cumulative Conditions – AM Peak Hour	5-125
5.7-42	Roseville Intersections Operating at LOS "D" or Worse Super-Cumulative Conditions – PM Peak Hour	5-126
5.7-43	Modeled Carbon Monoxide Levels for 2035 Cumulative conditions	5-131
5.7-44	Cumulative Water Demand	5-142
5.7-45	Summary of Roseville Electric Resource Mis for Calendar Year 2008	5-168
5.7-46	Estimated Electric Demand at Buildout of Cumulative Scenario	5-169

TABLES (continued)

5.7-47	Estimated Natural Gas Demand of SVSP With Urban Reserve Buildout	5-170

Chapter 6

6-1	Alternative 2, Reduced Footprint/Increased Density Land Use Summary	6-10
6-2	Intersections with Significant LOS Changes, Alternative 2	6-15
6-3	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions Generated by the Alternative 2 (Unmitigated, Pounds per Day)	6-17
6-4	Alternative 2, Operations Green House Gas Emissions Unmitigated Metric Tons per Year	6-18
6-5	Water Supply Project vs. Alternative 2 (In Acre Feet per Year)	6-22
6-6	Wastewater Flows Project vs. Alternative 2 (In Million Gallons per Day)	6-23
6-7	Solid Waste Generation Project vs. Alternative 2	6-23
6-8	Alternative 3, Reduced Footprint/Same Density Land Use Summary	6-27
6-9	Intersections with Significant LOS Changes Alternative 3	6-31
6-10	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions Generated by Alternative 3, (Unmitigated Pounds per Day)	6-32
6-11	Alternative 3, Operations Greenhouse Gas Emissions Unmitigated Metric Tons per Year	6-33
6-12	Water Supply Project vs. Alternative 3 (Acre Feet per Year)	6-37
6-13	Wastewater Flows, Project vs. Alternative 3 (In Million Gallons per Day)	6-38
6-14	Solid Waste Generation Project vs. Alternative 3	6-39
6-15	Alternative 4, Same Footprint/Reduced Density Alternative	6-43
6-16	Intersections with Significant LOS Changes, Alternative 4	6-46

TABLES (continued)

6-17	Comparison of Criteria Pollutant Emissions Generated by Alternative 4 (Unmitigated, Pounds per Day)	6-47
6-18	Alternative 4, Operations Greenhouse Gas Emissions Unmitigated metric Tons per Year	6-48
6-19	Water Supply Project vs. Alternative 4	6-53
6-20	Wastewater Flows, Project vs. Alternative 4	6-54
6-21	Solid Waste Generation, Project vs. Alternative 4	6-54

APPENDICES

Volume 3

Appendices: A - F

Appendix A Notice of Preparation

Appendix B Comments Received on the Notice of Preparation

Appendix C GMVC Recommendations

Appendix D Traffic Analysis

Appendix E Traffic Data

Appendix F Air Quality Modeling Results

Volume 4

Appendices: G - H

Appendix G Sanitary Sewer Master Plan & Addendum

Appendix H Water Appendices:

Appendix H-1 ASR Notice of Preparation

Appendix H-2 Water Supply Assessment

Attachment 1: TM-1 Unit Water Demand Factor Verification and Water Demand Evaluation and Update

Attachment 2: SVSP Potable Water Master Plan

APPENDICES (continued)

	Attachment 3: SVSP Water Conservation Plan
	Attachment 4: SVSP Recycled Water Master Plan
	Attachment 5: American River Hydrologic Record
	Attachment 6: City of Roseville Water Supply Contracts
	Attachment 7: Groundwater Impact Analysis for Proposed Reason Farms Land Retirement Plan
Appendix H-3	Water Forum Agreement
Appendix H-4	RBI Technical Memo (Effects of Changed Water Management Operations on Fisheries and Water Quality Impacts Previously Disclosed in the Water Forum Agreement EIR)
Appendix H-5	WFA EIR Mitigation Measures

Volume 5

Appendices: I - 0

Appendix I	Merritt Smith Technical Memo (Cumulative Analysis of UGA Impacts on Water Quality and Aquatic Resources in Pleasant Grove Creek)
Appendix J	Wastewater Master Plan EIR Mitigation Measures
Appendix K	Noise Calculations
Appendix L	Sierra Vista Specific Plan
Appendix M	School Facility Needs Analysis for the Center Unified School District
Appendix N	LAFCO Policies
Appendix O	Preliminary Drainage and Stormwater Master Plan

APPENDICES (continued)

Volume 6

Appendices: P - X

Appendix P	City of Roseville General Plan Policies
Appendix Q	Placer County General Plan Policies
Appendix R	City/USFWS MOU
Appendix S	Vernal Pool Recovery Plan Information
Appendix T	Dry Utilities Report
Appendix U	Biological Resource Assessment
Appendix V	PG& E Letter Regarding Natural Gas Pipeline Compatibility
Appendix W	SVSP Financing Plan
Appendix X	Commission Meeting Notes

This page intentionally left blank