


Aquifer Storage and Recovery Program Draft Environmental Impact Report

SCH NO. 2009072018



December 2011

Table of Contents

Chapter 1.0. Introduction

1.1 Project Background	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Intended Uses of this Draft Environmental Impact Report	1-1
1.3 Type of EIR	1-2
1.4 Prior CEQA Approvals	1-2
1.4.1 Diamond Creek Well Project IS/MND	1-2
1.4.2 City of Roseville Aquifer Storage and Recover Demonstration Test Phase 2 IS/ND	1-3
1.5 Scope of the EIR	1-3
1.6 Lead, Responsible and Trustee Agencies	1-7
1.7 Environmental Review Process	1-7
1.7.1 EIR Adequacy	1-9
1.8 Document Organization	1-9
1.9 Documents Incorporated by Reference	1-10
1.10 Mitigating Ordinances and Standards	1-11
1.11 Standard Terminology/Acronyms	1-12

Chapter 2.0. Project Description

2.1 Project Background and Context	2-1
2.1.1 Existing City Buildout: Water Supply And Demand	2-1
2.1.2 Potential American River Supply Cut Backs	2-2
2.1.3 Groundwater Demands at Buildout During Normal/Wet Years	2-3
2.1.4 Groundwater Demands at Buildout During Drier Years	2-3
2.1.5 Groundwater Demands at Buildout During a Critically Dry Year	2-3
2.1.6 Conjunctive Use of Water Resources	2-4
2.1.7 Applicable Plans and Policies	2-5
2.1.8 History of ASR in Roseville – Pilot Projects	2-9
2.2 Proposed Aquifer Storage and Recovery Program	2-10
2.2.1 Project Purpose and Objectives	2-11
2.2.2 Project Components	2-11
2.2.3 Operational Characteristics	2-17
2.2.4 Operational Parameters: Injection and Extraction Volumes	2-17
2.2.5 Construction Methods	2-19
2.2.6 Environmental Commitments	2-20
2.2.7 Project Schedule	2-23
2.2.8 Required Permits and Approvals	2-23
2.2.9 Citations Referenced in this Chapter	2-24

Chapter 3.0. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Summary Description of the Proposed Project	3-1
3.3 Environmental Impacts and Recommended Mitigation Measures	3-2
3.4 Summary of Alternatives	3-2
3.4.1 Alternative 1: No-Project Alternative	3-3
3.4.2 Alternative 2: Upgrade Water Treatment Plant to UV and Ozone with Separate Pipeline	3-3
3.4.3 Alternative 3: Surface Storage Alternative	3-3
3.4.4 Alternative 4: Onsite Groundwater Treatment at ASR Wells	3-3
3.4.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative	3-3
3.5 Areas of Controversy	3-4

Chapter 4.0. Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures

4.1 Approach to the Environmental Analyses	4-1
4.2 Water Quality	4-2
4.2.1 Environmental Setting	4-3
4.2.2 Impacts and Mitigation Measures	4-22
4.3 Noise	4-29
4.3.1 Introduction	4-29
4.3.2 Regulatory Background	4-38
4.3.3 Environmental Impacts	4-44
4.3.4 Mitigation Measures	4-49
4.3.5 Residual Significant Impacts	4-49

Chapter 5.0. CEQA Considerations

5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Significant Environmental Effects	5-1
5.2.1 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	5-1
5.2.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Effects	5-1
5.2.3 Growth Inducing Impacts	5-2
5.3 Cumulative Impacts	5-3
5.3.1 Definition and Scope of Cumulative Analysis	5-3
5.3.2 Potential Cumulative Impacts	5-4
5.4 Conclusion	5-7

Chapter 6.0. Alternatives Analysis

6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.1.1 California Environmental Quality Act Requirements	6-1
6.2 Alternatives Analyzed	6-1
6.3 Alternatives Considered and Dismissed from Further Consideration	6-3
6.3.1 Procure Additional Surface Water Alternative	6-3
6.3.2 Well Site Relocation Alternative	6-3
6.3.3 Separate Pipelines Alternative	6-4
6.4 Alternatives Considered In this EIR	6-5
6.4.1 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative	6-6
6.4.2 Alternative 2: Upgrade Water Treatment Plant to Ultraviolet (UV) and Ozone with a Separate Water Main	6-11
6.4.3 Alternative 3: Surface Storage Alternative	6-16
6.4.4 Alternative 4: Onsite Groundwater Treatment at ASR Wells	6-21
6.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-25

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Notice of Preparation and Initial Study
Appendix B	NOP Comments
Appendix C	EBMUD Technical Memorandum
Appendix D	Purveyor Specific Agreement
Appendix E	Antidegradation Analysis
Appendix F	Excerpt from Del Webb Specific Plan EIR

List of Tables

Table 1-1. NOP Comment Summary	1-5
Table 2-1. ASR Program Well Sites	2-13
Table 2-2. Responsible Agencies and Required Permits and Approvals	2-24
Table 3-1. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3-5
Table 4-1. Groundwater Elevations and Gradient during Demonstration Testing	4-11
Table 4-2. Drinking Water Standards and City Water Quality	4-12
Table 4-3. Background Water Quality	4-24
Table 4-4. Water Hardness Classifications	4-24
Table 4-5. Surface Water to Groundwater Survey	4-25
Table 4-6. Sodium Content in Common Foods and Beverages	4-28
Table 4-7. Noise Descriptors	4-32
Table 4-8. Existing and Future City ASR Well Sites: Surrounding Land Uses	4-35
Table 4-9. Summary of Ambient Noise Measurements	4-36
Table 4-10. OPR Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines	4-39
Table 4-11. Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure Transportation Noise Sources (Table IX-1 Of The Roseville General Plan Noise Element)	4-41
Table 4-12. Noise Element Performance Standards For Non-Transportation Noise Sources Or Projects Affected By Non-Transportation Noise Sources (Table IX-3 of the Roseville General Plan Noise)	4-42
Table 4-13. Predicted Drilling Noise Levels At Nearest Residences	4-45
Table 4-14. Predicted Well Pump Noise Levels At Nearest Residences	4-48
Table 6-1. Alternatives Comparison	6-6

List of Figures

Figure 2-1. Vicinity Map	2-14
Figure 2-2. Location of Existing & Planned ASR Wells	2-15
Figure 2-3. Typical "Top Side" Well Improvement	2-16
Figure 2-4. Estimated Unimpaired Flow and Water Year Classifications	2-18
Figure 2-5. Available Supply for Roseville from American River	2-18
Figure 2-6. Projected Demand with Conservation and Available for Injection	2-19
Figure 4-1. Regional Strategaphy	4-4
Figure 4-2. Soil Type Classifications	4-7
Figure 4-3. North American Sub-basin	4-9
Figure 4-4. THMs vs. Time in Diamond Creek Well (DCW) During Demonstration Testing	4-18
Figure 4-5. HAAs vs. Time in Diamond Creek Well (DCW) During Demonstration Testing	4-18
Figure 4-6. Typical Noise Levels	4-31
Figure 4-7. Noise Monitoring Locations	4-37
Figure 6-1. UV/Ozone Treatment With Separate Pipeline Alternative	6-27
Figure 6-2. Surface Storage Alternative	6-28
Figure 6-3. Onsite Groundwater Treatment At Well Site	6-29