



# Meeting Notes

---

March 15, 2005

**The Growth Management Visioning Committee was called to order on March 15, 2005 at 7:00 PM at Woodcreek Golf Club Meeting Rooms, 5880 Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard, Roseville, California.**

## I. Silent Roll Call

Committee Members Present:

Jerry Aplant

Krista Bernasconi

Sam Cannon

Ann Diamondstone

Traver Dougherty

Teri Edwards

Marilyn Festersen

Guy Gibson

Philolis Goode

David Larson

Sharon Manke

John Mason

Linda Mitsch

Jeffrey Ray

Robert Smith

Joe Velky

Pam Wilkinson

Committee members absent:

Rex Clark

Janice Rosenthal-Rock

Mayor Garbolino opened the meeting by welcoming the attendees. The GMV Committee was tasked to make recommendations to the City Council on developing a long range vision and set policies and recommendations for managing Roseville's future growth. Mayor Garbolino stated the purpose of tonight's meeting is to hear from the community on growth management issues. Mayor Garbolino thanked the committee members for their dedication and hard work they have committed to assist in creating the growth management principals.

Mayor Garbolino introduced Paul Downs, meeting facilitator from MIG Company. Paul provided an overview of the timeline and tasks the committee has been working on. Paul stated, "This is the end of this process, but just the beginning for Roseville."

Committee Member Joe Velkey provided an overview the City Council's charge and Roseville's current vision:

- Develop a 20-year vision for Roseville
- Evaluate Growth Management Policies
- Assume current City standards
- Consider the entire Roseville community
- Make policy level recommendations
- Maintain a balance of land uses
- Full range of opportunities to live, work & play
- Maintain fiscal health of the City of Roseville

- Support high quality services
- Maintain consistent visionary leadership

Committee Member Jerry Aplant provided an overview of tools the committee reviewed during their fact finding stages:

- Roseville's History & Vision
- Current Growth Management Approach
- Demographic Projections & City Trends
- SACOG Blueprint Project
- Placer County Growth Plans
- Regional Transportation
- Resource & Infrastructure Standards
- Community Survey Results

Committee Member Pam Wilkinson provided an overview of the findings the GMVC Members have been working on:

- Dramatic Growth is coming – we can shape growth, but not stop it
- Demographic will change – older, smaller households
- Land planning will shape transportation choices – and transportation choice will shape land planning
- Traffic from outside Roseville is a major issue – but we only have partial control
- Economic vitality is good for Roseville – supports services and quality of life
- Housing availability affects community character – provides homes for the next generation
- Environmental resources constrains growth – surface water is required for new development
- Local and regional actions are mutually dependent – what happens in the region has an effect of Roseville

#### **Public Comments:**

- Mark Clark – Mr. Clark is concerned about open spaces preservation and comments, "Let's take care to preserve these open spaces and natural areas. There are a lot of cities that have malls, but very few that have natural areas like Roseville."
- Aptin Mirettas. – Mr. M. is concerned with westward expansion of the city towards "higher crime areas". He states, "Roseville currently borders 'good cities'", but worries that expansion towards Rio Linda and North Highlands may "lessen Roseville's prestige."
- Kevin Miles – Mr. Miles recently moved here from Phoenix and would like to offer his congratulations to the city for doing a good job of creating a nice place to live. He is concerned about how existing infrastructure will be maintained in the face of rapid expansion. In particular he is concerned with noise pollution. He lives on the corner of Foothills and Junction, and is experiencing noise problems due to inadequate noise wall

heights. He asks, "What is being done to benefit existing residents with all this new growth."

- Ray Giles – Mr. Giles is chair of the Roseville Coalition of Neighborhood Associations (RCONA) Traffic Taskforce Committee. He thanks the GMVC for all their hard work, but expresses his concern that traffic issues are not being considered enough. In particular he is worried about the "lack of hard data about traffic" and calls on the committee to consider traffic issues as something that "demands more attention." He goes on to state that, "This report glosses over the issue, and the recommendations are way too vague to help the City Council achieve the report's fine goals."
- Mia Hipkins – Ms. Hipkins asks, "What are you going to do with the information gathered this evening?" She also asks about transportation issues, and how the Roseville plans to deal with the impacts of 120,000 new residents.
- Joe Royer – Mr. Royer commends the outreach effort and the work of the committee, and goes on to ask, "We've identified that we have a regional problem. How can we influence the region?" He also asks about ways in which citizens can be used as leverage to influence residents in other areas of the metro region.
- Mollie Gelder – Ms. Gelder is on the Roseville Senior Commission. She states, "Non-driving seniors have a problem." She asks that transportation issues for seniors be considered.
- Gene Endicott – Mr. Endicott was on the Community Standards and Visioning Committee last year. He states that the report is too vague about desired future outcomes, and that it needs to offer very specific recommendations and examples of what the community would like to happen.
- Michael McTighe – Mr. McTighe commends the committee but comments that, "we need to keep a larger plan in mind instead of piecemealing this." He gives the example of bike paths not connecting to each other as one of the negative results of a piecemeal approach.
- Claudia Gamar Heinlein – Ms. Gamar Heinlein asks, "What % of the proposed growth is occurring outside of the city's jurisdiction?" Also, she asks, "Are we coordinating with schools on this?"

**End of cards; open comments:**

- Audience Member: Concerned with Downtown. "It looks nice, but it's dead, and there's no parking."
- Joanne Funk: In response to previous statement, she states "I've been in business downtown for 26 years, and I wouldn't be anywhere else. Downtown is great and there's plenty to do and plenty of parking if you know where to find it."
- Audience Member: Wants to know about the expansion of Baseline and Riego Roads.
- Rob Jensen, Public Works Director – Mr. Jensen responds that a fee has been adopted for expansion, and it will occur in advance of development.
- Kevin Miles – Mr. Miles cautions that Roseville shouldn't let growth get ahead of the planning.
- Audience Member: Asks if the report and other information is available online.



- Audience Member: "I would like to see actionable steps added." She also asks that the Council "listen to the citizens and not the developers."
- Audience Member: "How do we know how this is going to play out?"
- Paul responds to say that we should make a note that benchmarks and feedback should be built into the process.
- Audience Member: Asks that increased attention be given to the community identity portion of the report. She goes on to state, "This is a plea to pay attention to the older parts of Roseville, and a plea that we build bridges between the different parts of this community."
- Audience Member: Was on the City Council and County Board of Commissioners. He brings up the issue of De La Salle University, and how Roseville has no jurisdiction over its planning.
- Audience Member: A question about revenues is asked, and she is concerned about whether future employers are coming to Roseville.
- Pam from the GMVC responds to say that, "There are more jobs than residents in Roseville."
- Audience Member: "What about the schools, I don't want to have to camp out just to get my kids in elementary school."
- Audience Member: "When are the planned projects going to start?"
- Gene Endicott – Responding to the jobs question, he states, "Economic Development plans will need to be creative. We can't expect another HP to move here." However, the region is dynamic economically, and Roseville should be ok. Gene also reiterates the need for specific policy recommendations.
- Audience Member: "What about transportation into Roseville?"
- Audience Member: – "I've been here 37 years. Traffic is the main issue."
- Audience Member: – "Is a light rail line planned here?"
- Rob Jensen:– No, light rail is not planned, but they are working on heavy commuter-style rail service.
- Audience Member: - "What about the speedway?"
- John Sprague, Community Development Director/Assistant City Manager:– No plans yet for the speedway. The land is owned by the County but the buildings are owned by the State. There are many partners in discussing the relocation of the speedway.
- Anita Spicehandler:– Suggests an education and business consortium. Ms. Spicehandler referenced an existing consortium she knew of in Dublin.

The meeting adjourned at 8:30 pm.